UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCUNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCUNITED STATES P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,846	12/12/2003	Manjeri Ramanathan Dharmarajan	JP920030198US1	1981
39903 IBM ENDICO	7590 03/27/2008 TT (ANTHONY ENGL	EXAMINER		
LAW OFFICE	OF ANTHONY ENGL	ALMEIDA, DEVIN E		
PO Box 5307 AUSTIN, TX 7	78763-5307	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	2132	(40. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 1
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	Application/control No.	Reexamination		
	10/734,846	DHARMARAJAN, MANJERI RAMANATHAN		
		Art Unit		
·	Devin Almeida	2132		
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC				

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed March 18, 2008.

1. Improper Request – The Request reason(s):	t is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not include rea	een filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. asons why a review is appropriate. ded with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	ntinues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from cation, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under app is required to submit an appeal brief in a brief will be reset to be one month from r running from the receipt of the notice of	eals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been beal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
The panel has determined the scalar (s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from considerate	·.·
	ence has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of high the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
4. ⊠ Reopen Prosecution – A conferer action will be mailed. No further action is	nce has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office s required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>Devin Almeida</u> .	(3) <u>Eddie C. Vee</u>
(2) Gilberto Barron.	(4)